This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the

application.

Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A computer-implemented method, comprising: for

decompressing a trie including a node section containing a plurality of nodes, the

method comprising, including:

evaluating a first node of the trie;

identifying a tag bit in a determining that the first node of the node section, the

<u>tag bit includes a tag flag</u>-having a setting <u>for i</u>ndicating <del>that a</del>-multiple <u>tagging in tag</u>

field, that does not contain the tag flag, is attached to the first node;

identifying a , and in response evaluating settings in the multiple tag  $\underline{\mathsf{mask}}$  field

in the first node based on the setting of the tag bit, the tag mask field being attached to

the first node and including a plurality of bits;

, and for each setting that indicates a tag, associating the first  $\underline{\text{generating}}$  node

with a category corresponding to that tag information based on settings of each bit in

the tag mask field;

evaluating a second node of the trie; and

determining that the second node includes a tag flag having a setting indicating

that a multiple tag field is not attached to the second node and

decompressing the trie based on the node information.

2. (currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein decompressing the trie

further comprises, comprising evaluating a-the tag information field to determine that

the trie was constructed to have at least one node with a multiple tag field.

Filing Date: 12/09/2003

3. (currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein the multiple-tag mask field

comprises a bitmask, and wherein evaluating each setting in the  $\frac{\text{multiple}}{\text{tag}}$   $\frac{\text{mask}}{\text{field}}$ 

comprises checking the value of each bit in the bitmask.

4. (original) The method of claim 3 further comprising, evaluating information in a

header of the trie to determine a size of the bitmask.

5. (currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein decompressing the trie

further comprises. comprising checking a value field to determine which tags have

values associated therewith.

6. (previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein at least one tag has a

value associated therewith, and further comprising, checking a value size array field to

determine a size for each value associated with a tag.

7. (currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein decompressing the trie

further comprises comprising, checking a value size array field to determine which tags

have values associated therewith.

8. (original) The method of claim 7 further comprising, checking the value size

array field to determine a size for each value associated with a tag.

9. (previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the first node includes at

least one partial enumeration count.

10. (previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the first node includes a

partial enumeration count for at least one of the tags.

Application Number: 10/732,771
Attorney Docket Number: 117846.02

Filing Date: 12/09/2003

11. (original) A computer-readable medium having computer-executable

instructions for performing the method of claim 1.

12. (withdrawn) A computer-readable medium storing information for enabling a

device to perform a process, the process comprising:

determining whether nodes of a trie have respective tag flag settings that

indicate whether or not the respective nodes have respective separate multi-tag fields attached thereto, where some of the processed nodes have a tag flag and a separate

multi-tag field, and some of the processed nodes have a tag flag and do not have a

separate multi-tag field;

in response to determinations that nodes have respective tag flag settings

indicating that those nodes have respective multi-tag fields attached thereto, accessing

settings in the multi-tag fields of those nodes;

in response to determinations that nodes have tag flag settings indicating that

the nodes do not have respective multi-tag fields attached thereto, handling those

nodes in accordance with such determinations.

13. (withdrawn) A computer-readable medium according to claim 12, where the

process further comprises evaluating a flag of the trie to determine whether the trie is of

a type that has multi-tag fields.

14. (withdrawn) A computer-readable medium according to claim 12, where the

process further comprises using a mask to determine which tags of the multi-tag fields

are active.

Application Number: 10/732,771
Attorney Docket Number: 117846.02

Filing Date: 12/09/2003

15. (withdrawn) A device configured to be capable of performing a process, the

process comprising:

accessing a trie comprised of nodes, where the nodes comprise respective tag

flags, where some of the nodes further comprise respective multi-tag fields, and where

some of the nodes do not further comprise multi-tag fields;

determining whether or not nodes have respective multi-tag fields attached

thereto by evaluating settings of the respective nodes' tag flags; and

for those of the nodes that have been determined to have multi-tag fields, using

the multi-tag fields of those nodes to determine whether those nodes belong to various

plural node categories.

16. (withdrawn) A device configured according to claim 15, wherein the process

further comprises: for those of the nodes that have been determined to not have multi-

tag fields, not determining whether those node belong to various plural node categories.

17. (withdrawn) A device configured according to claim 15, wherein the multi-tag

fields vary in size.

18. (withdrawn) A device configured according to claim 15, wherein the process

further comprises determining which tags in the multi-tag fields are valid.